

LAND CAPABILITY/SUITABILITY STUDY

LOS ANGELES COUNTY GENERAL PLAN REVISION PROGRAM

FINAL REPORT

**ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS RESEARCH INSTITUTE
LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING**

LAND CAPABILITY/SUITABILITY STUDY
LOS ANGELES COUNTY GENERAL PLAN REVISION PROGRAM

FINAL REPORT

Prepared for
Los Angeles County
Department of Regional Planning

by
Environmental Systems Research Institute
Redlands, California

and
Livingston and Associates
San Francisco, California

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
Chapter I: Land Capability/Suitability Analysis	11
A. Background and Precedent	11
B. Alternative Approaches to Land Capability/ Suitability Analysis	14
1. Alternative Techniques for Recording, Analyzing, and Displaying Data	15
2. Utilization of Computerized Data	19
C. Definition and Scope of the Land Capability/ Suitability Study	21
1. Definition of Land Capability/Suitability	21
2. Scope of the Land Capability/Suitability Analysis	21
a. Basic Data Maps	23
b. Interpretive Maps	29
c. Composite Map	34
D. Approach and Methodology for Establishing Land Capability/Suitability	35
1. Concept of Land Capability/Suitability	35
2. Operational Method for Generating Land Capability/Suitability Information	37
E. General Land Suitability for Development Classes	41
F. Use of Land Capability/Suitability Maps	48
1. Environmental Considerations vs. Socio- Economic Considerations in Planning	48
a. Existing Land Use and Development Locations and Patterns	48
b. Available and Planned Urban Infrastructure	49
c. Social Considerations	50
d. Economic Considerations	50
2. Utilization of Mapped Environmental Data	50
3. Evaluation of Data Reliability: Implications for Use of the Concept	51
Chapter II: Criteria/Guidelines for Preserving Significant Ecological Areas	54
A. Reasons for Preserving Significant Ecological Areas	54
B. Significant Ecological Areas Study	58
C. Planning Considerations	68

	<u>Page</u>
D. Legal Considerations	72
E. Criteria/Guidelines for Preserving Significant Ecological Areas	77
Chapter III: Guidelines for Utilizing Environmental Data in Preparing Conservation, Open Space, and Land Use Elements	82
A. Introduction	82
B. Guidelines and Standards	84
1. Land Capability/Suitability Guidelines	89
a. General	89
b. Terrain	90
c. Seismic	90
d. Soil Conditions	90
e. Fire Hazard, Erosion Potential, Flood Hazard	91
f. Watershed	91
g. Mineral and Agricultural Resources	92
h. Significant Ecological Areas	92
i. Coincident Environmental Constraints	92
2. Land Capability/Suitability Standards	92
FIGURES, CHARTS, TABLES, and MAPS	
Figure I Land Capability/Suitability Analysis and Application	4
Figure II Mapping and Modeling Sequence	39
Chart I General Land Suitability for Development: Primary Model	44
Table I Environmental Factors Encompassed by Land Capability/Suitability Analysis	85
Table II Indices for Assignment of Land Uses and Intensities of Development According to Development Suitability	95
Table III Land Uses and Development Suitability Classes Standards	100
Map I General Land Suitability for Development: South County	8
Map II General Land Suitability for Development: North County	9
Map III General Land Use: Malibu Module	25
Map IV Landform and Slope: Malibu Module	26
Map V Vegetation: Malibu Module	27
Map VI Significant Ecological Areas: Malibu Module	28
Map VII Capability for Development Considering Interpretations of Seismic and Geologic Conditions: Malibu Module	30

		<u>Page</u>
Map VIII	Capability for Development Considering Interpretations of Soil Conditions: Malibu Module	31
Map IX	Capability for Agriculture: Malibu Module	32
Map X	General Land Suitability for Development: Malibu Module	33
Map XI	Significant Ecological Areas	60
Map XII	Significant Ecological Areas	61

LAND CAPABILITY/SUITABILITY STUDY
LOS ANGELES COUNTY GENERAL PLAN REVISION PROGRAM

VOLUME I: SUMMARY REPORT

VOLUME II: TECHNICAL REPORT

VOLUME III: SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREAS REPORT

VOLUME IV: CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCES REPORT

■ Environmental Systems Research Institute
Redlands, California

Jack Dangermond, President
William Derrenbacher, Project Coordinator
Michael Miller, Map Production Manager
Glenn Huibregtse, Computer Programmer

□ Livingston and Associates
San Francisco, California

Lawrence Livingston, Jr., President

□ Area Information Systems
Crestline, California

Eric Harnden, President

□ England and Nelson, Biological Consultants
Riverside, California


Sidney England, Partner
Steven Nelson, Partner

□ Archaeological Research Unit
University of California
Riverside, California

Van Eggers, Consultant

LOS ANGELES COUNTY (SOUTHERN PORTION)
NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY
GENERAL LAND SUITABILITY FOR DEVELOPMENT

- ☐ URBANIZED (OR SUBSTANTIALLY ALTERED)
- ☐ CLASS A HIGH SUITABILITY
- ☐ CLASS B MODERATE HIGH SUITABILITY
- ☐ CLASS C MODERATE SUITABILITY
- ☐ CLASS D LOW SUITABILITY
- ☐ CLASS E VERY LOW SUITABILITY
- ☐ COMMITTED OPEN SPACE



MAP I